Curricula: Journal of Curriculum Development *- e-ISSN 2830-7917 & p-ISSN 2964-7339*
Volume 4 No 2 (2025) 1501-1518

Curricula:
Journal of Curriculum Development
https://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/CURRICULA/

Accelerating gifted Filipino children: Considerations and challenges

Tyrone O. Gil Jr.
University of the Philippines-Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines
togil@up.edu.ph

ABSTRACT

This study underscores the importance of nurturing intellectually advanced Filipino youth as a catalyst for national development and innovation. It addresses the underutilization of gifted education in the Philippines, shaped by cultural, socio-economic, and systemic challenges. The objective is to evaluate the effectiveness of academic acceleration strategies and examine how gifted students and their families perceive them. Using a systematic literature review, policy analysis, and theoretical frameworks, the study investigates methods such as subject-based acceleration, grade skipping, early entrance, curriculum compacting, telescoping, and radical acceleration. Findings indicate that these approaches foster not only academic achievement but also socio-emotional benefits, including greater satisfaction, stronger self-concept, and reduced disengagement. The research challenges misconceptions that acceleration harms students, showing instead that obstacles stem from weak policies, insufficient teacher training, and inconsistent practices. The paper concludes that a paradigm shift is necessary through culturally sensitive identification, stronger policies, and sustained support to maximize the potential of gifted learners.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:
Received: 8 May 2025
Revised: 29 Aug 2025
Accepted: 17 Sep 2025
Publish online: 21 Oct 2025

Keywords:
acceleration; acceleration strategies; gifted education; gifted Filipino

Open access
Curricula: Journal of Curriculum Development is a peer-reviewed open-access journal.

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini menekankan pentingnya pengembangan anak-anak berbakat intelektual di Filipina sebagai penggerak pembangunan nasional dan inovasi. Isu utama yang diangkat adalah masih kurangnya pemanfaatan pendidikan bagi anak berbakat akibat kendala budaya, sosial-ekonomi, dan sistemik. Tujuan penelitian ini adalah mengevaluasi efektivitas strategi percepatan akademik serta memahami persepsi murid berbakat dan keluarganya terhadap pendekatan tersebut. Melalui tinjauan pustaka sistematis, analisis kebijakan, dan penerapan kerangka teoretis, penelitian ini mengkaji berbagai metode, seperti percepatan berbasis mata pelajaran, loncat kelas, masuk sekolah lebih awal, pemadatan kurikulum, telescoping, dan percepatan radikal. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa strategi ini tidak hanya meningkatkan capaian akademik, tetapi juga memberikan manfaat sosial-emosional, seperti kepuasan belajar, penguatan konsep diri, dan berkurangnya kejenuhan. Penelitian ini menantang anggapan bahwa percepatan merugikan murid, dengan menegaskan bahwa hambatan justru muncul dari lemahnya kebijakan, kurangnya pelatihan guru, dan praktik yang tidak konsisten. Kesimpulannya, diperlukan perubahan paradigma melalui identifikasi yang peka budaya, kebijakan yang lebih kuat, serta dukungan berkelanjutan untuk mengoptimalkan potensi murid berbakat.

Kata Kunci: *akselerasi; anak berbakat Filipina; pendidikan anak berbakat; strategi akselerasi*

How to cite (APA 7)
Gil Jr., T. O. (2025). Accelerating gifted Filipino children: Considerations and challenges. *Curricula: Journal of Curriculum Development, 4*(2), 1501-1518.

Peer review
This article has been peer-reviewed through the journal's standard double-blind peer review, where both the reviewers and authors are anonymised during review.

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

INTRODUCTION

The Philippines, like many nations, recognizes the importance of nurturing its most intellectually advanced young minds to foster national development and innovation. Gifted individuals are often considered invaluable resources who can make significant contributions to a country's progress, ultimately becoming future leaders, scientists, engineers, and technocrats (Pawilen, 2018). This recognition has led to initiatives such as the Special Science Elementary School Project, launched in 2007, specifically designed to identify and cultivate scientifically literate students within public elementary schools, thereby preparing them for specialized science high schools (Faustino & Hiwatig, 2012). Additionally, special science classes in high school and science high schools were established to support further the academic advancement of gifted students in the STEM fields. However, despite these foundational efforts, a persistent challenge remains in effectively implementing comprehensive and sustained acceleration programs that cater to the diverse needs of gifted Filipino children across various disciplines (Opoku et al., 2024).

The current educational system for gifted individuals often struggles with a lack of comprehensive policy frameworks, inconsistent implementation of proven strategies such as acceleration, and insufficient teacher training to address the unique pedagogical needs of this cohort (Kanevsky & Clelland, 2013). Moreover, the conventional approaches, such as establishing science schools and special science classes, are often insufficient to address the holistic needs of gifted learners, particularly given the scarcity of specialized curricula designed for them (Pawilen, 2018). Hence, the need to have a more nuanced understanding of acceleration strategies becomes paramount for optimizing the potential of gifted Filipino children.

Studies on acceleration have highlighted various methods, including early entrance to school, grade skipping, subject-matter acceleration, and dual enrollment, all aimed at matching the curriculum to the advanced learning pace of these students (Guilbault & Meyer, 2024). In the United States, for instance, acceleration is commonly utilized to provide academically advanced students with instruction appropriate to their capabilities, often leading to improved academic outcomes and sustained engagement (Colangelo et al., 2010; Dai & Li, 2020). Different states employ various methods to cater to the unique needs of gifted learners (Al-Duraywish, 2023). However, the broader landscape of gifted education policy in the U.S. reveals a significant shortage in comprehensive national policies for identification and acceleration, particularly for underrepresented gifted students. The country's preference for talent development, paired with the integration of values, underscores a distinct cultural approach to nurturing exceptional abilities.

Conversely, in Canada, policies are often flexible and permissive, with strong explicit support for gifted education and acceleration found in provinces with categorical orientations toward exceptional learners, such as Alberta, British Columbia, New Brunswick, and Nova Scotia (Kanevsky & Clelland, 2013). Furthermore, the legislative framework in Canada regarding gifted education and acceleration is notably sparse, with early surveys indicating that only Saskatchewan and Ontario had permissive legislation, leaving most decisions to be made at the district and school levels (Kanevsky & Clelland, 2013).

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

A persistent challenge remains in effectively implementing comprehensive and sustained acceleration programs that cater to the diverse needs of gifted Filipino children across various disciplines. The current educational system for gifted individuals often struggles with a lack of comprehensive policy frameworks, inconsistent implementation of proven strategies such as acceleration, and insufficient teacher training to address the unique pedagogical needs of this cohort. Moreover, the scarcity of specialized curricula designed for them poses a significant barrier. Hence, the need to have a more nuanced understanding of acceleration strategies becomes paramount for optimizing the potential of gifted Filipino children.

This paper offers scientific novelty by providing a comprehensive and culturally sensitive analysis of academic acceleration, specifically tailored to the Filipino educational landscape. It integrates existing global research on acceleration strategies with a critical examination of local cultural considerations, prevailing attitudes, and systemic challenges unique to the Philippines. Specifically, this study critically evaluates the applicability and potential modifications of various acceleration methods—such as subject-based acceleration, grade skipping, and early entrance programs—within the Philippine context, considering both their academic efficacy and socio-emotional implications.

Furthermore, it addresses misconceptions surrounding the impact of acceleration, drawing on contemporary research to advocate for its positive outcomes when implemented appropriately. This paper aims to provide a robust framework for policymakers, educators, and parents to make informed decisions regarding the academic acceleration of gifted Filipino children, ensuring their optimal intellectual growth and socio-emotional well-being. It aims to clarify considerations for the effective implementation of each strategy within the Philippine educational system, taking into account both the benefits and potential barriers to their widespread adoption. Finally, this paper proposes recommendations for a paradigm shift toward a more culturally sensitive, evidence-based, and systematically supported approach to acceleration in gifted education in the Philippines.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Defining Giftedness: A Multifaceted Approach

While global definitions of giftedness often emphasize exceptional intellectual ability, the Philippine context necessitates a more comprehensive approach that integrates cultural nuances and societal expectations. However, in this paper, we will consider giftedness as the demonstration of advanced aptitudes and competencies across various domains, including academic, artistic, and leadership, which significantly surpass those of same-aged peers. This includes a profound capacity for abstract thought, rapid knowledge acquisition, and exceptional creativity. This multifaceted understanding acknowledges that giftedness is not solely related to cognitive ability but also encompasses an individual's capacity to manage human relationships and demonstrate socio-emotional intelligence (Opoku et al., 2024). Furthermore, the concept of giftedness in the Philippines often intertwines with strong religious components, wherein a gifted child is frequently perceived as a "blessing" or "*pinagpala*/*nainsagutan*," underscoring a divine endowment (Garces-Bacsal, 2011).

This perspective, while rooted in cultural beliefs, can sometimes complicate the implementation of secular, evidence-based gifted education strategies, necessitating a

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

culturally sensitive approach that respects traditional values while promoting scientific understanding of giftedness (Matthews & Dai, 2014). Furthermore, a culturally-responsive definition of giftedness is crucial to avoid misidentification or underidentification of gifted students, especially those from diverse socio-economic backgrounds, where conventional academic assessments may not fully capture their potential. This nuanced understanding is paramount for developing assessment tools and identification protocols that are equitable and effective across the archipelago's diverse linguistic and cultural groups (Pawilen & Manuel, 2018). Moreover, it is essential to consider that giftedness manifests differently across cultures, and a universal definition may not accurately capture the diverse expressions of talent within the Filipino population.

Cultural Considerations in Identifying Gifted Filipino Children

Societal values and cultural contexts significantly influence the manifestation of giftedness (Subotnik et al., 2011). In the Philippines, cultural factors often dictate how gifted behaviors are perceived and fostered (Pawilen, 2018). For instance, the Filipino conceptualization of giftedness often attributes it to "God-given talent" or "inborn talent inherited from parents," highlighting a spiritual and hereditary dimension that shapes community and familial responses to exceptional abilities (Magtoto, 2017). This cultural lens can influence identification processes, as community and family nominations often play a significant role in pinpointing intellectually advanced children, sometimes even more so than standardized assessments (Naval-Severino, 1992). Furthermore, the collectivist nature of Filipino society often prioritizes group harmony and deference to elders, which can lead gifted children to suppress their individualistic tendencies or exceptional insights to conform, potentially hindering their optimal development (Pawilen, 2018).

This presents a challenge for educators and psychologists, who must navigate these cultural norms while encouraging the unique expression of gifted potential. Additionally, the home environment plays a crucial role in nurturing giftedness among Filipino children, with family values and support significantly influencing their holistic development. Research indicates that the home environment of selected Filipino gifted individuals often includes strong parental involvement and emphasis on education, providing a fertile ground for intellectual growth (Pawilen, 2018). This strong familial support is often accompanied by the cultivation of socio-emotional skills and a robust value system, which are considered integral to the comprehensive development of giftedness within the Filipino cultural framework.

Moreover, the identification of Filipino gifted children necessitates a careful consideration of indigenous concepts of intelligence and talent, moving beyond Western-centric psychometric models to include observational data and community-based assessments that align with local cultural perspectives (Chagas-Ferreira et al., 2022). For example, while Western cultures often prioritize independent thought, Filipino cultural values may emphasize collaborative skills and social intelligence, which are equally vital forms of giftedness that should be recognized and nurtured (Ignacio, 2024). This culturally informed approach ensures that identification strategies are sensitive to the diverse expressions of giftedness across different regions and socio-economic strata in the Philippines, thereby promoting equitable opportunities for all gifted learners.

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

Prevalence of Giftedness Among Filipino Children

While precise prevalence rates for giftedness in the Philippines remain largely undocumented, estimates often align with global figures, suggesting that approximately 3-5% of the general school-aged population may exhibit characteristics of giftedness (Pawilen, 2018). This percentage, however, is often based on Western diagnostic criteria, highlighting a significant gap in localized research that accounts for the unique cultural and educational landscape of the Philippines. The absence of culturally relevant data hinders the development of tailored educational programs and support systems that effectively address the specific needs of gifted Filipino children.

Consequently, the lack of accurate prevalence data makes it challenging to allocate appropriate resources, train specialized educators, and advocate effectively for policy changes that would benefit this unique demographic (Al-Duraywish, 2023). Moreover, the underidentification of gifted Filipino children, particularly those from disadvantaged backgrounds, exacerbates existing educational inequities, limiting their opportunities for advanced learning and development. Despite rigorous efforts in the field of gifted education globally, the specific needs of this diverse minority group of gifted and talented students often remain unmet, particularly in contexts where identification relies heavily on instruments that may not fully capture the complexity of giftedness, such as IQ tests (Noor, 2023).

However, this figure represents only a fraction of the total gifted population in the country, many of whom remain unidentified or underserved due to systemic limitations in talent spotting and resource allocation. This highlights the urgent need to conduct a nationwide survey on the number of students admitted to gifted programs. However, a robust and precise identification process must be established first to ensure that all forms of giftedness, including those less conventionally recognized, are adequately assessed across various socioeconomic and cultural contexts (Larroder & Ogawa, 2015).

METHODS

This paper employs a systematic review of the literature, focusing on studies related to gifted education, acceleration strategies, and the challenges faced by gifted children in the Philippine context, complemented by an analysis of relevant policy documents and theoretical frameworks. This review was guided by specific research questions concerning the efficacy of current acceleration models and their socio-cultural implications within the Philippine educational system.

After establishing the research questions, a comprehensive search was conducted across academic databases, including ERIC, Scopus, and local Philippine journals, utilizing keywords such as "gifted education Philippines," "academic acceleration Philippines," "Filipino gifted children," and "challenges in gifted education Philippines." Inclusion criteria focused on empirical studies, policy analyses, and theoretical discussions published within the last two decades, ensuring the relevance and currency of the synthesized information. Relevant data was extracted and synthesized thematically, identifying recurring patterns, disparities, and significant insights about the identification, nurturing, and acceleration of gifted Filipino

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

children. Furthermore, the analysis included cross-cultural comparisons where appropriate, drawing insights from international best practices in gifted education to contextualize the Philippine situation and identify potential areas for improvement and adaptation. This systematic approach enabled a comprehensive understanding of the existing literature, identifying both advancements and persistent gaps in research on gifted education in the Philippines.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overview of Acceleration

Acceleration is defined as an intervention or a set of practices that allows gifted students to progress through an educational program at a faster rate or at a younger age than is typical. It involves matching the level, complexity, and pace of the curriculum to the student's readiness and motivation. The goal of acceleration is to provide continuous opportunities for students to enhance their competence at a rate and level responsive to their individual readiness, especially for those who learn more quickly or have more advanced levels of understanding (Kanevsky & Clelland, 2013).

There are several types of academic acceleration, including subject skipping, grade skipping, early entrance to school or college, curriculum compacting, telescoping, and radical acceleration. Each of these methods aims to provide academic challenges commensurate with the more advanced levels of competence and faster learning pace demonstrated by gifted students (Dai & Li, 2020). Subject skipping allows students to bypass content they have already mastered in a specific subject, enabling them to move to more advanced material; this approach is particularly beneficial for deep learners. An elementary student might attend a higher-grade math class, or a middle school student might take a high school-level science course.

Grade skipping involves promoting a student to a higher grade level than their chronological age would dictate, often after they have demonstrated mastery of the current grade's curriculum, and has shown positive academic and social-emotional outcomes for gifted students. This method, while effective for many, necessitates careful consideration of the student's social and emotional maturity to ensure a successful transition (Wu, 2013). Early entrance to school or college permits competent students to begin their educational journey at an earlier age, thereby aligning their academic progression with their intellectual readiness rather than arbitrary age cut-offs. It involves close coordination with the home-based school of the gifted students with the school or college to which the student will be admitted.

Curriculum compacting involves streamlining or eliminating previously mastered curriculum content to provide more time for enriched or accelerated studies. By compacting the curriculum, the time saved can then be used for enrichment activities, in-depth study, or independent projects. Gifted students often benefit from working through the curriculum at a faster pace due to their rapid acquisition of skills (Yuen et al., 2016). Telescoping the curriculum involves delivering a multi-year curriculum in a shorter timeframe, such as covering three years of material in two, thereby allowing students to progress more rapidly through academic content without omitting essential information. For instance, a student might complete three years of math curriculum in two years (VanTassel-Baska, 1992). This

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

method focuses on accelerating the pace of learning within a subject area without necessarily skipping entire grades. Finally, radical acceleration, the most intensive form of acceleration, involves highly gifted students skipping multiple grades or entering college at a very young age, often with demonstrable success in both academic and social-emotional domains (Gross & Vliet, 2005).

Although the Department of Education permits acceleration in various forms, there is no clear policy framework or implementing rules to guide its implementation, resulting in inconsistencies and varied practices across different educational institutions. Early entrance into kindergarten is impossible, given the strict restriction of admitting students who are 5 years old on or before October 31 of the school year, regardless of the child's potential for giftedness. This rigid age requirement often hinders the optimal academic progression of intellectually precocious children. Similarly, the absence of explicit guidelines for grade skipping, subject-specific acceleration, and curriculum compacting creates a disjointed educational landscape, where the application of these beneficial strategies is often left to the discretion of individual schools or teachers. Common types of acceleration used by teachers, both in public schools and Philippine Science High Schools, often include curriculum compacting and telescoping. However, the implementation varies widely due to a lack of centralized guidelines and comprehensive teacher training.

Academic and Social-Emotional Benefits of Acceleration

When accelerating gifted students, several important factors must be considered, particularly their socio-emotional needs. Early research on the socio-emotional development of gifted individuals often focused on potential adverse outcomes, such as social isolation or maladjustment, leading to a prevalent misconception that acceleration might harm a student's social and emotional well-being. However, contemporary research overwhelmingly refutes these early concerns, demonstrating that academically accelerated students typically exhibit comparable or even superior social and emotional adjustment compared to their non-accelerated peers (McClarty, 2014).

Indeed, studies indicate that concerns regarding the psychosocial well-being of accelerated youth are largely unwarranted, with many reporting increased satisfaction and better integration with intellectual peers (Bernstein et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2010). This positive outlook extends to long-term outcomes, where early accelerated students often demonstrate reduced risk-avoiding behaviors and enhanced self-concept, particularly in social interactions (Hoogeveen et al., 2011). This enhanced self-concept is often attributed to the intellectual congruence found within their peer group, fostering environments where their advanced cognitive abilities are not only accepted but also stimulated (Colangelo et al., 2010).

Gifted students often experience a mismatch between their advanced abilities and the pace and content of a typical age-appropriate curriculum, which can lead to boredom and disengagement (Papadopoulos, 2021). Acceleration addresses this by providing a learning environment that aligns with their intellectual readiness. Studies indicate that accelerated students report increased satisfaction and better integration with intellectual peers. This can lead to the formation of warm and productive social relationships with classmates who share similar cognitive levels, rather than being isolated among age-mates who do not share their

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

intellectual interests (Gross & Vliet, 2005; Hoogeveen et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2010). Furthermore, research indicates that key factors facilitating positive adjustment for accelerated students include cheerfulness, resilience, self-efficacy, a positive self-concept, high prior academic achievement, and a supportive family environment (Schuur et al., 2020).

Acceleration, particularly radical acceleration, can effectively lead to a reduction in boredom and a reawakening of their delight in learning (Gross & Vliet, 2005). This renewed motivation contributes positively to their overall emotional well-being by providing consistent and meaningful intellectual stimulation (Vuyk et al., 2024). Moreover, gifted students may find increased satisfaction and reduced boredom when placed in environments that challenge their advanced cognitive abilities, often leading to a more positive school experience and enhanced peer connectedness (Guignard et al., 2021; Vuyk et al., 2024).

Reviews of studies confirm that acceleration does not generally result in social and emotional difficulties, including challenges in forming friendships with older students (Lee et al., 2010; Schuur et al., 2020). Accelerated students often report high levels of social acceptance (Siegle et al., 2013). In fact, numerous studies have found that grade-accelerated students generally outperform their chronologically older classmates academically and demonstrate comparable levels of social and emotional adjustment (Ladendorf, 2010). Despite concerns from some educators, primarily due to a lack of comprehensive training, the literature overwhelmingly suggests that acceleration does not negatively impact students' self-esteem or emotional well-being (Siegle et al., 2013).

Moreover, the positive impacts of acceleration extend to long-term outcomes, with early accelerated students often demonstrating reduced risk-avoiding behaviors and enhanced self-concept, particularly in social interactions. These students consistently achieve high levels of academic success and pursue careers that offer ongoing intellectual challenges (Gross & Vliet, 2005). This sustained engagement fosters continuous personal and professional development, underscoring the long-term benefits of appropriately implemented academic acceleration (Bernstein et al., 2020; Gross & Vliet, 2005). The long-term psychological well-being of accelerated gifted youth is unaffected mainly by acceleration, dispelling earlier concerns (Bernstein et al., 2020). Furthermore, longitudinal studies often reveal that accelerated individuals tend to pursue higher education at a higher rate and excel in demanding professional fields, indicating robust adaptive capabilities and sustained intellectual drive throughout their adult lives (Gross & Vliet, 2005).

Addressing the Concerns and Misconceptions About Acceleration

There had been persistent misconceptions regarding the potential negative social and emotional impacts of acceleration, despite extensive research indicating otherwise (Lagacé-Leblanc et al., 2021). The most prevalent and enduring misconception is that acceleration will negatively impact a gifted student's social and emotional well-being. This concern suggests that accelerating a child could lead to difficulties in social integration or feelings of being different from peers (Saldaña et al., 2022). Another common concern that accelerated students might face is excessive academic pressure, leading to stress, anxiety, or even "burnout" due to the increased pace and complexity of their studies (Heinbokel, 2002). This means that academic acceleration could potentially compromise their holistic development

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

by prioritizing cognitive gains over emotional and psychological health. Such asynchronous development can be a concern, where social and emotional development may not be as advanced as cognitive development (Peterson, 2009).

Common concerns in the acceleration of gifted students include inadequate teacher training and awareness. School stakeholders often lack sufficient knowledge regarding the various forms of acceleration and their documented benefits, which results in a reluctance to implement these strategies (Gallagher & Smith, 2013). This leads to a reluctance to use acceleration due to prolonged concerns about its potentially damaging consequences, particularly regarding the social and emotional development of gifted students (Gallagher & Smith, 2013). Concerns about accelerative practices persist, with teachers sometimes giving more weight to potential adverse outcomes than positive ones (Siegle et al., 2013). Specific to the Philippine context, strict age requirements for early entrance (e.g., kindergarten) and the "absence of explicit guidelines for grade skipping, subject-specific acceleration, and curriculum compacting" create a disjointed landscape where beneficial strategies are left to individual discretion, reflecting a systemic concern about effective implementation.

This absence of a clear policy often leads to a case-by-case approach or, worse, outright neglect of gifted students' needs, diverting attention from their exceptional talents towards a minimum level of global competence (Al-Duraywish, 2023). The prevailing educational ethos often prioritizes the remediation of struggling learners, inadvertently sidelining the unique needs of academically advanced students and perpetuating a system where policies for gifted education remain underdeveloped or poorly enforced (Gatcho et al., 2024). Countries without clear policies on gifted care often address these situations on a case-by-case basis or overlook them (Al-Duraywish, 2023).

As mentioned earlier, overwhelming evidence refutes the notion that acceleration harms socio-emotional development. Research consistently shows that academically accelerated students typically exhibit comparable or even superior social and emotional adjustment compared to their non-accelerated peers (Colangelo et al., 2004; Schuur et al., 2020). Reviews of studies confirm that concerns regarding the psychosocial well-being of accelerated youth are largely unwarranted (Siegle et al., 2013). Accelerated students often do not experience greater emotional problems than their peers (Siegle et al., 2013). The long-term psychological well-being of accelerated gifted youth is unaffected mainly by acceleration, dispelling earlier concerns (Courtinat-Camps et al., 2009). Accelerated students have consistently and significantly outperformed their non-accelerated peers in both high school and college (McClarty, 2014). In fact, studies indicate that accelerated students exhibit similar social-emotional characteristics to their gifted, non-accelerated peers, with positive factors such as cheerfulness, resilience, and self-efficacy contributing to their adjustment (Schuur et al., 2020).

Individualized assessment and readiness must be the focus of acceleration. When making decisions as a group, they should always be based on comprehensive individual assessments that consider not only academic readiness but also the student's social and emotional maturity, motivation, and learning style. Decisions should be "purposefully" made based on students' developmental readiness (Lee et al., 2010).

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

While accelerated students generally adjust well, providing ongoing social-emotional support and guidance is crucial. Key factors facilitating positive adjustment for accelerated students include cheerfulness, resilience, self-efficacy, a positive self-concept, high prior academic achievement, and a supportive family environment (Schuur et al., 2020). Furthermore, research indicates that teachers and parents concerned about social-emotional consequences of acceleration can be reassured that gifted students who accelerate demonstrate comparable or even superior social competence (Hoogeveen et al., 2011).

Comprehensive training for educators, administrators, and parents is essential to cover the various forms of acceleration, their benefits, and how to identify and support gifted students effectively. This is particularly important given that teachers have shown more concern about potential adverse outcomes than positive ones, often due to a lack of comprehensive training (Gallagher & Smith, 2013; Siegle et al., 2013). Raising awareness and clarifying the scope and criteria for acceleration programs is crucial (Opoku et al., 2024). Such training should also address the common misconception that the social-emotional well-being of gifted students is inherently compromised by acceleration, providing evidence-based insights that counter these unfounded concerns (Schuur et al., 2020).

Recognizing that "one size does not fit all," offering a range of acceleration options (e.g., subject-based, curriculum compacting, telescoping) allows for a more tailored approach to meet diverse needs, reducing the perceived risk of "whole grade" acceleration. These options provide accelerated instruction well-suited to gifted and talented learners (Robinson & Clinkenbeard, 1998). This differentiated approach acknowledges that different forms of acceleration—such as subject-specific acceleration, curriculum compacting, or concurrent enrollment—can cater to varying intellectual paces and developmental stages, thereby optimizing academic and social outcomes. However, despite the extensive evidence supporting acceleration as a beneficial intervention for gifted students, the practical implementation remains fraught with challenges, particularly concerning the attitudes of educators and the broader educational system (Sweeney & O'Connor, 2024).

As identified earlier, establishing clear policy frameworks and implementing rules for acceleration is crucial to ensure consistent and effective application across educational institutions in the Philippines. The absence of clear, specific, and comprehensive policies can lead to situations being handled on a case-by-case basis or being overlooked (Al-Duraywish, 2023). This often stems from a lack of understanding and professional development among educators regarding the efficacy and benefits of acceleration, compounded by prevalent misconceptions about its potential negative impacts on social and emotional development (Gallagher & Smith, 2013). In line with this, it is essential to acknowledge that failing to accelerate a gifted child can have negative socio-emotional consequences, including boredom, disengagement, and underachievement (Raoof et al., 2024; Vuyk et al., 2024). Acceleration can lead to a "reawakening of their delight in learning". This comprehensive approach underscores the importance of aligning policy with research to create an educational environment that promotes the optimal development of gifted Filipino children (Gallagher & Smith, 2013).

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

Acceleration Strategies for Gifted Filipino Children

Subject-Based Acceleration

This strategy allows students to progress through specific subjects at a faster pace than their peers, often by enrolling in advanced courses or compacting the curriculum (Colangelo et al., 2010). Implementing subject-based acceleration requires flexible school scheduling and coordination between teachers across different grade levels. Additionally, when considering subject-based acceleration, it is crucial to ensure that the advanced curriculum offers a genuine intellectual challenge rather than merely an increased volume of work, in order to sustain the gifted student's motivation and prevent boredom (Vuyk et al., 2024). Furthermore, given that gifted students are at risk of social isolation and emotional maladjustment when tethered to unchallenging environments, subject-based acceleration can enhance their self-esteem, love of learning, and capacity for supportive friendships.

Grade Skipping

This form of acceleration is particularly beneficial for exceptionally gifted students who demonstrate advanced mastery across multiple subjects, as it provides a developmentally appropriate educational and social placement that prevents disengagement and promotes continued intellectual growth. While effective, careful consideration of the student's social and emotional maturity is crucial to ensure a successful transition. Some studies acknowledge that acceleration can be perceived negatively by some students, primarily due to impacts on peer relationships, including jealousy and difficulties integrating into a new class (Lagacé-Leblanc et al., 2021). However, the vast majority of research supports its positive impact on academic and social-emotional development, refuting early concerns (Schuur et al., 2020).

Teachers and parents may initially worry about the social-emotional consequences of acceleration, but research often suggests positive outcomes (Hoogeveen et al., 2011). Additionally, the student should demonstrate mastery of the current grade's curriculum across all subjects to avoid gaps in knowledge. Furthermore, grade skipping is often considered when a student has already mastered 40-60% of the content in their current grade level, indicating a significant knowledge gap between their abilities and the curriculum (Vuyk et al., 2024). Teacher attitude is another concern that needs to be considered when deciding whether to skip a grade. Some principals and teachers express concern about grade skipping, indicating difficulties in social adjustment, and may be reluctant to implement it due to perceived adverse social and emotional effects (Gallagher & Smith, 2013; Ivarsson, 2024). Thorough preparatory work and impact analysis are important for successful promotion (Ivarsson, 2024).

Early Entrance to School or College

This strategy enables gifted students to start their academic journey earlier than their age peers, either by entering kindergarten or first grade at a younger age or by matriculating into college before the typical age, such as after junior high school (Siegle et al., 2013). Such early entry programs are predicated on the premise that delaying access to challenging

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

curricula can stifle intellectual growth and lead to disengagement, and have been shown to have positive academic outcomes. This type of acceleration is the least disruptive in terms of social integration, as the student is integrated with a new cohort at the beginning of an academic stage, fostering a sense of belonging from the outset. However, implementing early entrance necessitates a thorough assessment of the student's cognitive, social, and emotional maturity, ensuring they possess the self-regulation, adaptability, and social skills necessary to thrive in an environment typically populated by older individuals (Guignard et al., 2021).

Strict age requirements, as seen in the Philippine context for kindergarten, can hinder the optimal academic progression of intellectually precocious children. When considering this strategy, parents and educators must evaluate the child's academic preparedness, social skills, and emotional resilience to ensure a supportive and stimulating environment. Careful consideration of the child's social and emotional development is crucial to prevent potential issues, as some studies suggest that academically accelerated children might experience lower social self-concept or less positive peer relationships (Guignard et al., 2021).

Teachers who work with gifted students must be equipped with specialized training to address the unique needs of these students, facilitating their successful integration and continued academic engagement within the advanced setting. Additionally, school leaders must foster an inclusive environment where accelerated students feel supported and their unique contributions are valued, rather than being ostracized. School stakeholders must carefully consider the potential long-term effects of pushing for early admission, as it may have both positive and negative consequences. Moreover, it represents one of the least disruptive forms of acceleration, minimizing academic gaps and social disjunctions that might arise from other accelerative strategies.

Curriculum Compacting

This acceleration strategy involves assessing a gifted student's mastery of the regular curriculum and then streamlining or eliminating content they already know, allowing them to engage with enriched or accelerated material within their grade level (Cross, 2013). This method ensures that gifted learners are not compelled to re-engage with previously mastered concepts, thereby freeing up time for more challenging and stimulating educational experiences. Studies show that students whose curriculum was compacted scored just as well or better in achievement tests (Reis & Peters, 2020). This approach addresses the issue of academic disengagement that can arise when gifted students are subjected to repetitive or unchallenging tasks, maintaining their motivation and fostering a more profound intellectual curiosity.

Telescoping

This strategy allows students to complete a greater amount of curriculum in a shorter period, often by compressing three years of material into two, or two years into one (Vuyk et al., 2024). For instance, a student might complete the content of grades six, seven, and eight in just two academic years, allowing them to advance to higher-level courses more quickly. This approach is particularly beneficial for students who demonstrate exceptional aptitude

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

and a rapid learning pace, enabling them to engage with more complex subject matter sooner without sacrificing the depth of coverage (Yuen et al., 2016). While telescoping offers an efficient pathway for academically advanced students, its successful implementation necessitates meticulous planning and ongoing assessment to ensure comprehensive content mastery and prevent potential knowledge gaps.

It requires a thorough understanding of the curriculum to identify key concepts and eliminate redundancies. At the same time, pacing demands a nuanced awareness of the student's learning capacity to ensure neither boredom nor overwhelming speed. Pacing also needs to be flexible, allowing adjustments based on the student's progress and comprehension. Furthermore, teachers must be adept at identifying gifted learners who are suitable candidates for this intensive academic pathway, taking into account their emotional maturity, self-regulation, and cognitive abilities. This acceleration model also demands robust support systems, including access to advanced resources and potentially specialized mentorship, to facilitate the student's rapid academic progression effectively (Reis et al., 2021). Implementing telescoping in resource-constrained environments can be challenging, as it often requires individualized educational plans and additional instructional materials.

Radical Acceleration

Radical acceleration involves advancing a student multiple grade levels or admitting them to college early, a practice supported by research for its positive cognitive and affective outcomes for highly gifted individuals (Gross & Vliet, 2005). This type of acceleration has been a hot topic of debate, primarily due to concerns about the social-emotional development of young children placed with older peers, despite evidence suggesting that highly gifted students often thrive socially and emotionally when intellectually challenged (Opoku et al., 2024). Alternatively, for exceptionally gifted students, radical acceleration can be the most appropriate and beneficial intervention, preventing extreme boredom and fostering their intellectual growth (Gross & Vliet, 2005). Studies on radical acceleration have shown outstanding success and positive results, with students finding academic challenge that matches their readiness. Those who have undergone radical acceleration report increased zest for learning and positive emotional gains, alongside good social relationships with older classmates. This model is particularly suited for individuals demonstrating profound intellectual abilities, enabling them to progress through educational stages at an exceptionally rapid pace.

While highly effective for a select group, radical acceleration requires cautious assessment and continuous support (Gross & Vliet, 2005). Concerns about the process have been identified, but research supports its wider adoption (Gross & Vliet, 2005). It is a thriving, yet rarely utilized educational practice (Gross & Vliet, 2005). Key considerations for implementing radical acceleration include a comprehensive evaluation of the student's cognitive, social, and emotional maturity, ensuring they are well-prepared for the intellectual and social demands of a higher academic environment. Hence, a student must qualify not just from an IQ test but must also demonstrate exceptional academic aptitude, intrinsic motivation, and robust social-emotional resilience to thrive in such an advanced placement. Recommending the use of this type of acceleration requires a robust support system involving parents, educators, and counselors to facilitate a smooth transition and ongoing

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

adaptation for the student. Such support includes providing counseling, fostering social interaction, and offering study skills programs to mitigate potential challenges (Gross & Vliet, 2005).

Discussion

Despite the empirical evidence supporting academic acceleration for gifted students, its implementation in the Philippines presents unique challenges, particularly within the context of a developing nation's educational framework and cultural nuances. The synthesis of existing literature and policy contexts revealed several critical insights regarding gifted education in the country. A central theme is the imperative for a paradigm shift in identifying and nurturing giftedness, moving beyond existing foundational efforts to address systemic deficiencies. The review highlighted a critical absence of robust, comprehensive policy frameworks and consistent implementation guidelines for acceleration strategies, leading to varied practices and unmet needs for gifted Filipino children. This deficiency contrasts sharply with countries like Australia, which have clear and varied policies and legislation for detecting and nurturing talent across diverse fields (Al-Duraywish, 2023).

This disparity often results in enrichment strategies being favored over educational acceleration, reflecting prevailing sociopolitical and cultural values rather than educational or psychological imperatives (Gross & Vliet, 2005). Additionally, enrichment strategies are seen as more socially acceptable and less disruptive to the traditional classroom structure (Opoku et al., 2024). It offers a broader appeal to educators and parents who may be hesitant to alter a child's educational trajectory through acceleration drastically (Hoogeveen et al., 2011; Kanevsky & Clelland, 2013). However, for gifted students with the capacity for rapid learning, enrichment alone is often insufficient, as it fails to provide the necessary academic challenge commensurate with their advanced levels of competence and faster pace of learning (Dai & Li, 2020). Indeed, many gifted children in the Philippines struggle with a rigid public educational system that offers limited support for their unique needs, often prioritizing uniform curriculum delivery over differentiated instruction.

The contextualized acceleration strategies that teachers can use to accelerate Filipino gifted learners can help bridge the gap in meeting the demand for rapid learning among gifted learners. This necessitates a comprehensive approach that integrates teacher training, curriculum flexibility, and robust identification mechanisms to serve this population of students effectively. Furthermore, the absence of clear and comprehensive national policies for gifted education in the Philippines, a trend observed in other contexts, often results in inconsistent provisions and a reliance on case-by-case decisions (Al-Duraywish, 2023). Hence, the development of standardized national guidelines for identifying and accelerating the development of gifted Filipino children becomes paramount to ensure equitable access to appropriate educational provisions (Larroder & Ogawa, 2015).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the various acceleration strategies—curriculum compacting, telescoping, and radical acceleration—each offer distinct benefits for gifted Filipino children, contingent upon

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

careful assessment and tailored implementation. These approaches, while promising, necessitate a nuanced understanding of their implications for social-emotional development, alongside a robust infrastructure for identification and ongoing support to ensure optimal outcomes. Moreover, contextual realities, such as the specific educational landscape and cultural considerations within the Philippines, must inform the selection and application of these strategies to maximize their effectiveness for gifted learners. It also necessitates the development and consistent implementation of clear policy frameworks for various acceleration strategies, coupled with comprehensive training for educators, administrators, and parents. This comprehensive approach would ensure that the unique needs of gifted Filipino children are met, fostering their intellectual growth while addressing potential social and emotional challenges simultaneously.

AUTHOR'S NOTE

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. The author confirms that the data and content of the article are free from plagiarism.

REFERENCES

Al-Duraywish, A. A. (2023). Nurturing brilliance: A cross-cultural exploration of supporting gifted children. *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences, 10*(11), 124-131.

Bernstein, B. O., Lubinski, D., & Benbow, C. P. (2020). Academic acceleration in gifted youth and fruitless concerns regarding psychological well-being: A 35-year longitudinal study. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 113*(4), 830-847.

Chagas-Ferreira, J. F., Fleith, D. de S., & Prado, R. M. (2022). Development of talent according to Sinti and Calon Romani. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13(1),* 1-9.

Colangelo, N., Assouline, S. G., Marron, M. A., Castellano, J. A., Clinkenbeard, P. R., Rogers, K. B., Calvert, E., Malek, R., & Smith, D. (2010). Guidelines for developing an academic acceleration policy. *Journal of Advanced Academics, 21*(2), 180-206.

Courtinat-Camps, A., & de Léonardis, M. (2009). Contextes de scolarisation et estime de soi chez des élèves à haut potentiel. *Revue Québécoise de Psychologie*, *30*(1), 1-24.

Cross, J. R. (2013). Gifted education as a vehicle for enhancing social equality. *Roeper Review, 35*(2), 115-123.

Dai, D. Y., & Li, X. (2020). Behind an accelerated scientific research career: Dynamic interplay of endogenous and exogenous forces in talent development. *Education Sciences, 10*(9), 1-16.

Faustino, J. B., & Hiwatig, A. D. F. (2012). Special science elementary school: Project and prospects for gifted education in the Philippines. *Journal of Science Education in Japan, 36*(2), 131-142.

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

Gallagher, S., & Smith, S. R. (2013). Acceleration for talent development: Parents' and teachers' attitudes towards supporting the social and emotional needs of gifted children. *International Journal for Talent Development and Creativity*, *1*(2), 97-112.

Garces-Bacsal, R. M. (2011). Socioaffective issues and concerns among gifted Filipino children. *Roeper Review*, *33*(4), 239-251.

Gatcho, A. R. G., Manuel, J. P. G., & Hajan, B. H. (2024). No child left behind, literacy challenges ahead: A focus on the Philippines. *Frontiers in Education, 9(10),* 1-5.

Gross, M. U. M., & van Vliet, H. E. (2005). Radical acceleration and early entry to college: A review of the research. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 49*(2), 154-171.

Guignard, J., Bacro, F., & Guimard, P. (2021). School life satisfaction and peer connectedness of intellectually gifted adolescents in France: Is there a labeling effect?. *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development, 2021*(179), 59-74.

Guilbault, K. M., & Meyer, M. S. (2024). Toward equity and transparency: A content analysis of Florida elementary acceleration policies. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *68*(4), 316-339.

Heinbokel, A. (2002). Acceleration: Still an option for the gifted. *Gifted Education International, 16*(2), 170-181.

Hoogeveen, L., van Hell, J. G., & Verhoeven, L. (2011). Social-emotional characteristics of gifted accelerated and non-accelerated students in the Netherlands. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 82*(4), 585-605.

Ignacio, A. G. (2024). Exploring the perspectives of preservice Filipino Mathematics teachers: Basis for a proposed educational belief model. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century, 82*(4), 487-500.

Ivarsson, L. (2024). Acceleration and enrichment for gifted students: From the perspective of Swedish principals. *Athens Journal of Education, 11*(2), 95-112.

Kanevsky, L., & Clelland, D. (2013). Accelerating gifted students in Canada: Policies and possibilities. *Canadian Journal of Education, 36*(3), 229-271.

Lagacé-Leblanc, J., Courtinat-Camps, A., Capdevielle, V., Massé, L., Baudry, C., Verret, C., Couture, C., Nadeau, M.-F., & Bégin, J.-Y. (2021). Perceptions de la douance chez les élèves doués dans un centre de services scolaires québécois. *Psychologie Française, 68*(2), 261-272.

Larroder, A. C., & Ogawa, M. (2015). The development of a self-evaluation checklist for measuring Filipino students' science giftedness. *Asia-Pacific Science Education, 1*(1), 1-20.

Lee, S.-Y., Olszewski-Kubilius, P., & Peternel, G. (2010). The efficacy of academic acceleration for gifted minority students. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 54*(3), 189-208.

Magtoto, F. O. M. (2017). Nature of nurture: Mentally superior young adults in the Philippines. *International Journal of Research Studies in Psychology, 6*(1), 45-57.

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

Matthews, D. J., & Dai, D. Y. (2014). Gifted education: Changing conceptions, emphases and practice. *International Studies in Sociology of Education, 24*(4), 335-353.

McClarty, K. L. (2014). Life in the fast lane. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 59*(1), 3-13.

Naval-Severino, T. (1992). Identification of intellectually able disadvantaged Filipino children. *Gifted Education International, 8*(2), 72-80.

Noor, B. (2023). Pressure and perfectionism: A phenomenological study on parents' and teachers' perceptions of the challenges faced by gifted and talented students in self-contained classes. *Frontiers in Education, 8(1),* 1-9.

Opoku, M. P., Moustafa, A., Alsheikh, N., Anwahi, N., Aljaberi, M., Alkhateri, T., Almeqbaali, A., & Elhoweris, H. (2024). The nexus between the attitudes and self-concepts of gifted students in an Arab context. *PLoS ONE, 19*(6), 1-17.

Papadopoulos, D. (2021). Parenting the exceptional social-emotional needs of gifted and talented children: What do we know?. *Children, 8*(11), 1-15.

Pawilen, G. T. (2018). Home environment of selected Filipino gifted individuals. *IAFOR Journal of Education, 6*(2), 65-77.

Pawilen, G. T. (2018). Development and implementation of a science-based integrated curriculum for nurturing the gifted potentials of young Filipino children. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Research in Early Childhood Education, 12*(3), 45-66.

Pawilen, G. T., & Manuel, S. J. (2018). A proposed model and framework for developing a curriculum for the gifted in the Philippines. *International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction, 10*(2), 118-134.

Peterson, J. S. (2009). Myth 17: Gifted and talented individuals do not have unique social and emotional needs. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 53*(4), 280-282.

Raoof, K., Shokri, O., Fathabadi, J., & Panaghi, L. (2024). Unpacking the underachievement of gifted students: A systematic review of internal and external factors. *Heliyon, 10*(17), 1-22.

Reis, S. M., & Peters, P. M. (2020). Research on the schoolwide enrichment model: Four decades of insights, innovation, and evolution. *Gifted Education International, 37*(2), 109-128.

Reis, S. M., Renzulli, S., & Renzulli, J. S. (2021). Enrichment and gifted education pedagogy to develop talents, gifts, and creative productivity. *Education Sciences, 11*(10), 1-9.

Robinson, A., & Clinkenbeard, P. R. (1998). Giftedness: An exceptionality examined. *Annual Review of Psychology, 49*(1), 117-139.

Saldaña, G. N., Flores-Oyarzo, G., & Navarro, M. G. G. (2022). Inteligencia emocional en estudiantes de la provincia de Concepción, Chile: Un estudio comparativo con un instrumento piloto. *Revista de Estudios y Experiencias en Educación, 21*(47), 96-116.

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035

Schuur, J., van Weerdenburg, M., Hoogeveen, L., & Kroesbergen, E. H. (2020). Social-emotional characteristics and adjustment of accelerated university students: A systematic review. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 65*(1), 29-48.

Siegle, D., Wilson, H. E., & Little, C. A. (2013). A sample of gifted and talented educators' attitudes about academic acceleration. *Journal of Advanced Academics, 24*(1), 27-51.

Subotnik, R. F., Olszewski-Kubilius, P., & Worrell, F. C. (2011). Rethinking giftedness and gifted education: A proposed direction forward based on psychological science. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 12*(1), 3-54.

Sweeney, A., & Reid O'Connor, B. (2024). Teacher attitudes towards streaming in Mathematics education. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education, 16(5)*, 1-29.

VanTassel-Baska, J. (1992). Educational decision making on acceleration and grouping. *Gifted Child Quarterly, 36*(2), 68-72.

Vuyk, M. A., Montania, M., & Barrios, L. (2024). Boredom and its perceived impact in adolescents with exceptional mathematical talent: A sequential mixed-methods study in Paraguay. *Frontiers in Sociology, 9(1),* 1-17.

Wu, E. (2013). Enrichment and acceleration: Best practice for the gifted and talented. *Gifted Education International, 27*(2), 209-218.

Yuen, M., Chan, S., Chan, C., Fung, D. C., Cheung, W. M., Kwan, T., & Leung, F. K. (2016). Differentiation in key learning areas for gifted students in regular classes. *Gifted Education International, 34*(1), 36-49.

https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i2.90035